

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (USPTO)**

<b>Serial Number</b>	10/796,613
<b>Confirmation Number</b>	1791
<b>Filing Date</b>	Mar 8, 2004
<b>Title of Application</b>	Relational Database Schema Version Management
<b>First Named Inventor</b>	Leela S. Tamma
<b>Assignee</b>	Microsoft Corporation
<b>Group Art Unit</b>	2191
<b>Examiner</b>	Qing Chen
<b>Attorney Docket Number</b>	MS1-1880US
<b>Nature of the Office Communication to which this is responding</b>	Non-Final Office Action
<b>Date of the Office Communication</b>	11/28/07
<b>Nature of this Document</b>	Response to Non-Final Office Action

To:        Commissioner for Patents  
          P.O. Box 1450  
          Alexandria VA 22313-1450

From:      Clay D. Hagler (Tel. 509-324-9256; Fax 509-323-8979)  
                Customer No. 22801

**Brief Summary of Selected Substantive Portions of this Response**

**[0001]**      In a telephone discussion on March 15, 2008 the Examiner indicated the cited reference did not disclose the use of set theory or the generation of a single installation file as recited in the claims. The Examiner suggested amendments to clarify these differences in the claims of the Application and agreed to reevaluate the claims in light of these amendments.

**[0002]** This brief summary is not intended to represent the Applicant's full response to the Action. Rather, it is merely a brief summary of selected substantive portions of the response herein.

## **SPECIFICATION AMENDMENTS**

None

Serial No.: 10/796,613  
Atty Docket No.: MS1-1880US  
Atty/Agent: Cley D. Hagler  
RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION